

1 **GUTRIDE SAFIER LLP**
 ADAM J. GUTRIDE (State Bar No. 181446)
 2 SETH A. SAFIER (State Bar No. 197427)
 MARIE MCCRARY (State Bar No. 262670)
 3 KRISTEN G. SIMPLICIO (State Bar No. 263291)
 100 Pine Street, Suite 1250
 4 San Francisco, California 94111
 Telephone: (415) 271-6469
 5 Facsimile: (415) 449-6469

6 **TYCKO & ZAVAREEI LLP**
 HASSAN A. ZAVAREEI (State Bar No. 181547)
 7 KRISTEN L. SAGAFI (State Bar No. 222249)
 1828 L Street, N.W., Suite 1000
 8 Washington, DC 20036
 Telephone: (202) 973-0900
 9 Facsimile: (202) 973-0950

10 **SPANGENBERG SHIBLEY & LIBER LLP**
 STUART E. SCOTT (*pro hac vice* admission)
 11 1001 Lakeside Avenue East, Suite 1700
 Cleveland, OH 44114
 12 Telephone: (216) 696-3232
 Facsimile: (216) 696-3924

13 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 16 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

17 JAMIE PETTIT, et al., on behalf of themselves,
 18 the general public and those similarly situated,

19 Plaintiffs,

20 v.

21 THE PROCTOR & GAMBLE COMPANY,
 22

23 Defendant.
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28

CASE NO. 3:15-cv-2150 RS

**DECLARATION OF HASSAN A.
 ZAVAREEI IN SUPPORT OF
 PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR FINAL
 APPROVAL**

Date: March 28, 2019
 Time: 1:30 p.m.
 Courtroom 3, 17th Floor
 Judge: Hon. Richard Seeborg

1 I, Hassan Zavareei, declare as follows:

2 1. I am a member of this Court and attorney of record for Plaintiff Jamie Pettit in this
3 action. I am also attorney of records for Plaintiffs Karla Ramcharitar, Gloria Wiltrakis, and Cheryl
4 Senko in the matter *Ramcharitar et al. v. Procter & Gamble Co.* (“*Ramcharitar*”), No. 1:15-CV-
5 00457-TSB (S.D. Ohio).

6 2. I am a partner Tycko & Zavareei LLP (“TZ” or “Firm”), which has been appointed
7 Class Counsel in the above-captioned matter. The information below is stated based on personal
8 knowledge. I am competent to testify to the facts set forth below, and if called as a witness and
9 placed under oath, I would testify to those facts.

10 3. I make this Declaration in support of Plaintiffs’ motion for final approval
11 (“Motion”). In that regard, I discuss, in the following order: (a) the history of this litigation, which
12 includes a summary description of the legal services provided by TZ in this litigation to date; (b)
13 the risks borne by TZ; (c) the attorney time, hourly rates, expenses, and other data underlying the
14 Motion; and (d) TZ’s continuing obligations in this litigation and under the Settlement Agreement.

15 **A. History of the Litigation.**

16 4. In addition to co-counseling with Gutride Safier LLP (“GS”) and Spangenberg
17 Shibley & Liber LLP (“SSL”) in this matter, TZ has litigated other matters related to “flushability”
18 claims on other wipes products in cases throughout the country. This includes *Meta v. Target*
19 *Corp., et al.*, No. 4:14-CV-0832 (N.D. Ohio), in which TZ and SSL were appointed class counsel
20 in a case that ultimately reached a nationwide class action settlement that was approved by the
21 court. TZ’s participation in these additional “flushable” wipes matters has enabled it to utilize its
22 learnings from other cases in a manner that allowed us to litigate this matter more efficiently than
23 a firm without such experience. From its inception, this case was managed for TZ by partner
24 Lorenzo Cellini. Mr. Cellini left the firm after the great bulk of the work was completed. After that
25 point I stepped in took over supervision of the other TZ attorneys working on this matter.

26 5. In order to ensure at all times that the three law firms worked together efficiently,
27 managing attorneys from TZ, GS, and SSL frequently communicated with one another to ensure
28 that tasks were appropriately assigned to one law firm or the other, and that duplicative work was

1 not being performed.

2 6. Prior to filing the complaint in this matter, TZ conducted factual research regarding
3 Freshmates wipes, worked with Plaintiff to understand his claims, and conducted legal research on
4 both potential claims and claims that ultimately were made in the complaint.

5 7. Throughout this case, TZ monitored the events of related litigation proceeding in
6 New York, including reviewing transcripts of proceedings. Deposition transcripts, and case filings;
7 and attending hearings.

8 8. TZ participated in the preparation of requests for production of documents and
9 written interrogatories to Defendant. Additionally, TZ worked on Plaintiff's responses to
10 Defendant's discovery requests.

11 9. TZ participated in the preparation of an initial case management statement, and an
12 attorney from TZ attended a case management hearing.

13 10. TZ prepared a subpoena to third-party witnesses, including the Association of the
14 Nonwoven Fabrics Industry ("INDA"), Amazon, and several manufacturers of flushable wipes. TZ
15 also worked on the subpoena served on IRI in this matter. TZ also reviewed the responses to these
16 subpoenas, and met and conferred with counsel for third-party witnesses regarding their responses
17 to subpoenas.

18 11. After third-party witness Rockline filed a motion to quash in this matter, TZ
19 reviewed the motion and prepared a response to it.

20 12. TZ worked with Plaintiff's expert witnesses to assist with their efforts to prepare
21 expert reports submitted by Plaintiff in this matter.

22 13. After Defendant produced documents in this matter, TZ worked on the review of
23 Defendant's document production.

24 14. TZ prepared the notice of the Rule 30(b)(6) depositions in this matter. TZ also
25 prepared for and took the depositions of two Rule 30(b)(6) witnesses.

26 15. TZ worked on the motion for class certification filed in this matter, and the reply
27 memorandum, in support of class certification.

28 16. During the course of this litigation, TZ was contacted by additional consumers with

1 similar experiences to Plaintiff Pettit with Freshmates wipes. TZ gathered information related to
2 these consumers, several of whom TZ retained to represent them. The amended complaint that was
3 filed in October 2018 included the claims of these individuals.

4 17. Should any objections to the settlement be filed prior to the hearing on final
5 approval, TZ will research and respond to those objections either in a reply memorandum or in
6 additional filings.

7 18. After engaging in and supervising all of the aforementioned tasks, as a partner
8 with TZ, I am in a unique position to evaluate this Settlement. Indeed, in advising the
9 Representative Plaintiff whether or not to enter into the Settlement Agreement, I was very
10 cognizant of the risks involved in protracted litigation. I was also cognizant of Defendant's size
11 and financial resources.

12 19. When considering the risks and costs associated with proceeding to trial against
13 the nature of the benefit that was being offered by Defendant, I believe that the Settlement is in the
14 best interests of the class. Indeed, with this Settlement, Plaintiff has achieved her desired goal in
15 this litigation—i.e., obtaining for class members relief and commitments by Defendant to make
16 label changes and comply with current and future standards for flushability. Additionally, after this
17 lawsuit was commenced and in part due to this lawsuit, Defendant stopped selling the offending
18 formulation of Freshmates wipes and is selling a reformulated product. Based on my evaluation of
19 the facts and legal issues presented, I believe that the settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable.

20 20. At all time during this litigation, Plaintiff Pettit performed a significant role as
21 Class Representative, having provided documentation to support the Complaint, responding to
22 written discovery, and sitting for a lengthy deposition.

23 **B. The Risks Borne By TZ.**

24 21. In accepting this case, TZ bore considerable risk. TZ took this case on a fully
25 contingent basis, meaning that we were not paid for any of our time, and that we paid all costs and
26 out of pocket expenses without any reimbursement to date. From the outset, TZ recognized that it
27 would be contributing a substantial amount of time and advancing significant costs in prosecuting
28 this class action, with no guarantee of compensation or recovery, in the hopes of prevailing against

1 a well-funded defense.

2 22. Because Defendant was represented by a large, highly-skilled and well-resourced
3 litigation firm, there was increased risk that Plaintiff would receive a verdict for the Defense after
4 a prolonged trial.

5 **C. Lodestar and Expenses for TZ**

6 23. A copy of the firm resume of TZ was submitted as part of the class certification
7 briefing the Court reviewed in granting class certification. (*See* Dkt. 56- 22.)

8 24. Based on the time records of TZ, TZ has spent approximately 699.8 hours
9 prosecuting this litigation (including time spent in the *Ramcharitar* litigation) through January 16,
10 2019.¹ The total number of hours, as well as the lodestar computed at our 2019 rates, is as shown
11 in the following table:

Attorney (Position)	Hours	Rate	Total
Hassan Zavareei (Partner)	13.1	\$894.00	\$11,711.40
Jonathan Tycko (Partner)	0.6	\$894.00	\$536.40
Kristen Sagafi (Partner)	21.4	\$742.00	\$15,878.80
Lorenzo Cellini (Partner)	471.8	\$742.00	\$350,075.60
Andrew Silver (Associate)	1.2	\$455.00	\$409.50
David Lawler (Counsel)	47.4	\$894.00	\$42,375.00
Chloe Noh (Paralegal)	2.4	\$202.00	\$484.80
Christina Parel (Paralegal)	5.9	\$202.00	\$1,191.00
Natasha Fletcher (Paralegal)	116.9	\$202.00	\$23,613.80
Nathan Laporte (Paralegal)	2.7	\$202.00	\$545.40
Melis Coban (Paralegal)	14.3	\$202.00	\$2,888.60
Melat Kiros (Paralegal)	2.1	\$202.00	\$424.20
TOTAL	480.4		\$450,272.40

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
¹ TZ will update its lodestar and costs prior to the final fairness hearing, should the Court request it.

1 25. The hourly rates shown for the attorneys at TZ are our 2019 rates charged as
2 delineated by the Laffey Matrix (<http://www.laffeymatrix.com/>), which provides market rates for
3 attorneys working in the Washington, D.C., and Baltimore areas. Courts have awarded attorneys'
4 fees consistent with the Laffey Matrix to my firm in a number of cases. *See, e.g., Brown v.*
5 *Transurban USA, Inc.*, No. 1:15CV494 (JCC/MSN), 2016 WL 6909683 (E.D. Va. Sept. 29, 2016);
6 *Small v. BOKF, N.A.*, No. 1:13-cv-01125-REB-MJW (D. Colo.); *Soule v. Hilton Worldwide, Inc.*,
7 No. CV 13-00652 ACK-RLP, 2015 WL 12827769 (D. Haw. Aug. 25, 2015); *Beck v. Test Masters*
8 *Educ. Servs., Inc.*, 73 F. Supp. 3d 12 (D.D.C. 2014).

9 26. I am a 1995 graduate of University of California, Berkeley School of Law.
10 Jonathan Tycko is a 1992 graduate of Columbia University Law School. Lorenzo Cellini is a 2004
11 graduate of University of Arizona College of Law. Kristen Sagafi is a 2002 graduate of the
12 University of California, Berkeley School of Law. David Lawler is a 1997 graduate of Creighton
13 University School of Law. Andrew Silver is a 2012 graduate of Boston College Law School.

14 27. I billed 13.1 hours to this matter. After a review of my daily billing records, TZ has
15 estimated that the time spent can roughly be apportioned as follows: work related to the
16 preparation of the amended complaint in this matter (7.2 hours); and mediation-related work (5.9
17 hours).

18 28. Lorenzo Cellini billed 471.8 hours. After a review of his daily billing records, TZ
19 has estimated that the time spent can roughly be apportioned as follows: brief writing (including
20 legal research) (101.1 hours); discovery (including drafting requests and responses, review of
21 documents and deposition transcripts, preparations for/taking depositions, third-party discovery,
22 and managing meet and confer process) (222.9 hours); case management and strategy (routine
23 correspondence, supervising experts, coordinating work flow, communications with client, trial
24 prep, etc.) (47.1 hours); other (including working with expert witnesses, monitoring related
25 litigation, and settlement-related work) (101.7 hours).

26 29. David Lawler billed 47.4 hours. After a review of his daily billing records, TZ has
27 estimated that the time spent can roughly be apportioned as follows: factual investigation of
28 potential claims related to additional consumers that contacted TZ regarding Freshmates wipes

1 (29.6 hours); and work related to the preparation of the amended complaint in this matter (17.8
2 hours).

3 30. Jon Tycko billed 0.6 hours. After a review of his daily billing records, TZ has
4 estimated that the time spent can roughly be entirely attributed to settlement-related work.

5 31. Kristen Sagafi billed 21.4 hours. After a review of her daily billing records, TZ has
6 estimated that the time spent can be attributed entirely to mediation-related work.

7 32. Andrew Silver billed 1.2 hours. After a review of his daily billing records, TZ has
8 estimated that the time spent can roughly be apportioned as follows: work related to the
9 preparation of the amended complaint in this matter (0.2 hours); and work related to the motion for
10 preliminary approval of the settlement (1.0 hours).

11 33. TZ's paralegals billed their time for administrative tasks related to this case.

12 34. I was previously an attorney at the law firm of Gibson Dunn & Crutcher. It is my
13 understanding that attorneys at that firm in the litigation department with the same number of
14 years of experience as myself are currently billing at hourly rates in excess of \$1,000 for law
15 school graduates from 1995. I believe that my firm's hourly rates are at or below market for
16 attorneys with similar backgrounds and experience.

17 35. Expenses are accounted for and billed separately and are not duplicated in my
18 firm's professional billing rate. TZ has not received reimbursement for expenses incurred in
19 connection with this litigation. As of January 24, 2019, my firm incurred a total of \$82,121.98 in
20 unreimbursed actual third-party expenses in connection with the prosecution of these cases. The
21 actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of these cases are reflected on the computerized
22 accounting records of my firm prepared by bookkeeping staff, based on receipts and check
23 records, and accurately reflect all actual expenses incurred. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true
24 and correct copy of a listing of expenses incurred in this case by my firm, for which Plaintiff is
25 now seeking reimbursement.

26 36. The expenses my firm incurred were reasonable and necessary to prosecute this
27 litigation, and TZ advanced these expenses without assurance that they would be recouped.

28 **D. Class Counsel's Continuing Obligations to Class Members**

Tycko & Zavareei LLP

Date	Description	Amount
Jun-17-15	Photocopies 15 @ 0.15	\$ 2.25
Jul-20-15	Photocopies 50 @ 0.15	\$ 7.50
Aug-17-15	Travel meal at 66 Pier 29 in San Francisco on 8/13/15	\$ 23.00
	Travel meal at Bar-Coliseum in Oakland on 8/13/15	\$ 4.05
	VTS cab on 8/13/15	\$ 15.99
	Photocopies 65 @ 0.15	\$ 9.75
Sep-17-15	Photocopies 15 @ 0.15	\$ 2.25
Oct-07-15	Court document recovery from Pacer Service 3rd quarter invoice	\$ 1.20
Oct-16-15	Parking at Union Station on 10/10/15	\$ 24.00
	Meal at Amtrak Acela cafe on 10/9/15	\$ 8.00
	Uber cab on 10/9/15	\$ 25.14
	Uber cab on 10/9/15	\$ 27.75
	Meal at Jades Vending on 10/9/15	\$ 2.25
	Amtrak ticket on 10/8/15	\$ 432.00
Oct-20-15	Photocopies 39 @ 0.15	\$ 5.85
Jan-20-16	Photocopies 35 @ 0.15	\$ 5.25
Jan-29-16	Conference call on 12/14/15 at 2 pm	\$ 3.40
	Court document retrieval through Pacer 4th quarter 2015 invoice	\$ 10.20
Feb-29-16	Photocopies 3 @ 0.15	\$ 0.45
Mar-18-16	Photocopies 3 @ 0.15	\$ 0.45
Apr-28-16	Photocopies 3 @ 0.15	\$ 0.45
Jun-30-16	Photocopies 20 @ 0.15	\$ 3.00
Jul-01-16	Westlaw online research during the month of June 2016	\$ 0.48
Jul-31-16	Project management set up fees by Precise invoice 19103	\$ 385.00
Aug-31-16	Database hosting by Precise invoice 19192	\$ 194.33
Sep-09-16	Place of production fee by Capitol Process Service invoice 1513676	\$ 75.00
	Place of production fee by Capitol Process Service invoice 1513674	\$ 75.00
Sep-16-16	Place of production fee by Capitol Process Service invoice 1513955	\$ 75.00
	One-third service of process fee by Capitol Process Service in 1513675	\$ 50.00
Sep-30-16	Database creation and hosting by Precise invoice 19364	\$ 210.76
	Photocopies 108 @ 0.15	\$ 16.20
Oct-01-16	Westlaw online legal research during the month of September 2016	\$ 7.52
Oct-09-16	Service of process by Capitol Process Services invoice 1513674 (co-counsel)	\$ 75.00
	Service of process by Capitol Process Service invoice 1513676 (co-counsel)	\$ 75.00
Oct-10-16	Court document retrieval through Pacer 3rd Quarter invoice	\$ 18.20
Oct-16-16	Service of process by Capitol Process Service invoice 1513955 (co-counsel)	\$ 75.00
	Service of process by Capitol Process Service invoice 1513675 (co-counsel)	\$ 50.00
Oct-31-16	Westlaw online legal research during the month of October 2016	\$ 6.91
	Monthly per Gigabyte database hosting by Precise invoice 19609	\$ 205.26
	Photocopies 118 @ 0.15	\$ 17.70
Nov-30-16	Data base creation and management by Precise invoice 19716	\$ 280.06
Dec-31-16	Database hosting by Precise invoice 19902	\$ 252.96
Jan-05-17	Moist towlette point of sale data research by Information Resources invoice	\$ 8,826.67
Jan-18-17	Conference call on 12/2/16 at 3 pm	\$ 2.58

Jan-31-17	Expert witness provided by Economics & Technology invoice 201706620	\$ 13,975.00
	Project database management by Precise invoice 60046	\$ 661.15
Feb-02-17	Deposition transcript provided by Veritext invoice PA2870765	\$ 1,102.75
Feb-09-17	Deposition transcript provided by Veritext invoice PA2877456	\$ 708.65
Feb-17-17	Working late Lyft Ride home on 1/24/17	\$ 26.75
	Delta Airlines to Ohio on 1/18/17	\$ 528.40
	Federal express delivery on 1/27/17	\$ 153.60
	Delta Airlines additional fee on 1/27/17	\$ 50.00
	Federal express delivery on 1/27/17	\$ 15.24
	Westin Hotel in Cincinnati on 1/27/17	\$ 793.98
	Parking at airport on 1/26/17	\$ 68.00
	Moses taxi to airport on 1/26/17	\$ 44.00
	Travel meal at The Wich on Sycamore on 1/26/17	\$ 7.99
	Taxi to airport on 1/25/17	\$ 41.00
	Travel meal at Lickety Split on 1/24/17	\$ 8.01
Feb-28-17	Expert consultation provided by Economics & Technology invoice 201706633	\$ 7,204.16
	Project management and hosting by Precise invoice 60085	\$ 274.41
	Photocopies 3678 @ 0.15	\$ 551.70
Mar-02-17	Deposition transcript provided by Veritext invoice PA2898050	\$ 295.00
Mar-14-17	Deposition transcript provided by Veritext invoice PA2907717	\$ 547.50
Mar-30-17	Expert B. Orr attendance at deposition	\$ 5,000.00
Mar-31-17	Expert Economics & Technology attendance at deposition on 3/1/17	\$ 2,275.00
	Database hosting by Precise invoice 60117	\$ 268.91
Apr-30-17	Database hosting & sharing by Precise invoice 60174	\$ 257.91
	Professional services provided by Economic & Technology invoice 201706676	\$ 9,262.50
	Mar and April 2017 Photocopies 165 @ 0.15	\$ 24.75
May-12-17	Expert services provided by Barry Orr per 5/12/17 email	\$ 8,051.65
May-22-17	Federal express delivery on 4/25/17	\$ 36.69
	Court document retrieval during the first quarter of 2017	\$ 5.10
May-31-17	Professional services provided by Economic & Technology invoice 201706700	\$ 8,937.50
	Database hosting by Precise invoice 60221	\$ 224.91
	Photocopies 98 @ 0.15	\$ 14.70
	Photocopies 98 @ 0.15	\$ 14.70
Jun-01-17	Westlaw online legal research during the month of May 2017	\$ 6.58
Jun-28-17	Photocopies 7 @ 0.15	\$ 1.05
Jun-30-17	Database hosting during the month of June by Precise invoice 602755	\$ 224.91
Jul-31-17	Database hosting services provided by Precise invoice 60311	\$ 224.91
	Photocopies 64 @ 0.15	\$ 9.60
Aug-29-17	Court document retrieval 2nd quarter 2017	\$ 17.60
Aug-31-17	Database hosting by Precise invoice 60343	\$ 224.91
	Photocopies 28 @ 0.15	\$ 4.20
Oct-12-17	Photocopies 1 @ 0.15	\$ 0.15
Nov-30-17	Database hosting by Precise invoice 60498	\$ 224.96
Dec-31-17	Database hosting provided by Precise invoice 60546	\$ 224.91
Jan-31-18	Database hosting services provided by Precise invoice 60582	\$ 224.91
Feb-28-18	Data hosting provided by Precise invoice 60639	\$ 224.91
Mar-31-18	Monthly hosting services provided by Precise invoice 60722	\$ 224.91

Apr-30-18	Document review hosting provided by Precise invoice 60782	\$ 308.21
May-31-18	Database hosting provided by Precise invoice 60828	\$ 308.21
	Create credentials for M. Kiros by Precise invoice 60828	\$ 11.00
Jun-30-18	Monthly hosting provided by Precise invoice 60878	\$ 440.21
Jul-31-18	Monthly hosting by Precise invoice 60927	\$ 333.20
Aug-29-18	Court document retrieval 2nd quarter 2018	\$ 4.50
Aug-31-18	Database hosting during the month August 2018 provided by Precise invoice	\$ 333.20
Sep-30-18	Database hosting provided by Precise invoice 61019	\$ 333.20
Oct-31-18	Data review hosting for October provided by Precise invoice 61075	\$ 333.20
Nov-28-18	Court document retrieval from Pacer during the 3rd quarter 2018	\$ 1.50
Nov-30-18	Database hosting online provided by Precise invoice 61129	\$ 333.20
Dec-31-18	Database hosting online provided by Precise invoice 61176	\$ 342.78
Jul-20-15	Photocopies 11 @ 0.15	\$ 1.65
Jul-21-15	Certificate of Good Standing from Dc Court of Appeals	\$ 5.00
Jul-23-15	Certificate of Good Standing for DC Court of Appeals	\$ 5.00
Aug-20-15	Advertising for the Flushable Wipes Investigation by Top Class Actions invoice	\$ 1,750.00
Sep-17-15	Photocopies 14 @ 0.15	\$ 2.10
Nov-18-15	Photocopies 2 @ 0.15	\$ 0.30
Dec-01-15	Westlaw online research in November 2015	\$ 0.96
Dec-14-15	Court filing fee paid by Spangenberg, Shibley & Liber 12/14/15 email	\$ 200.00
Dec-18-15	Federal express delivery on 12/5/15	\$ 54.66
	Conference call on 11/6/15 at 1:30 pm	\$ 4.08
	Certificate of good standing - Cellini	\$ 5.00
Dec-21-15	Photocopies 9 @ 0.15	\$ 1.35
Jan-01-16	Westlaw online research during the month of December 2015	\$ 16.44
Jan-20-16	Photocopies 457 @ 0.15	\$ 68.55
Jan-29-16	Federal express delivery 12/24/15	\$ 60.75
	Court document retrieval through Pacer 4th quarter 2015 invoice	\$ 4.50
Feb-01-16	Westlaw online research during the month of January 2016	\$ 608.77
Feb-29-16	Photocopies 38 @ 0.15	\$ 5.70
Mar-01-16	Westlaw online legal research in February 2016	\$ 68.82
Mar-18-16	Photocopies 79 @ 0.15	\$ 11.85
Apr-01-16	Westlaw online research during the month of March 2016	\$ 16.75
Apr-19-16	Conference call on 3/18/16 at 11:30 am	\$ 2.08
Apr-28-16	Photocopies 6 @ 0.15	\$ 0.90
May-31-16	Photocopies 9 @ 0.15	\$ 1.35
Jun-17-16	Court document retrieval through Pacer 1st quarter 2016	\$ 20.10
Apr-30-17	Mar and April 2017 Photocopies 76 @ 0.15	\$ 11.40
May-01-17	Westlaw online legal research during the month of April 2017	\$ 47.08
May-22-17	Working late cab home on 5/6/17	\$ 16.18
May-31-17	Photocopies 24 @ 0.15	\$ 3.60
	Photocopies 24 @ 0.15	\$ 3.60
Jun-01-17	Westlaw online legal research during the month of May 2017	\$ 42.85
Sep-15-17	Pro Hac Vice filing fee paid to Spangenberg, Shibley & Liber	\$ 200.00
Oct-12-17	Photocopies 1 @ 0.15	\$ 0.15
Mar-27-18	Southwest airlines on 3/15/18	\$ 409.96
May-15-18	Lyft cabs (2) on 4/16/18	\$ 75.52

	Lodging in Chicago on 4/16/18	\$ 187.00
	Lyft cabs (2) on 4/20/18	\$ 70.84
May-30-18	Uber cabs (2) on 4/21/18 to mediation	\$ 28.68
	Travel meals at Circle Sushi & Grill in Chicago on 4/17/18	\$ 35.56
	Uber cab on 4/17/18 to mediation	\$ 20.30
	Travel Meal at Tabo Suchi (Gate B14) in Chicago on 4/16/18	\$ 22.46
	Travel meal at Jamba Juice in Oakland on 4/16/18	\$ 10.73
	Travel meal at Penny's Noodle Shop in Chicago on 4/16/18	\$ 45.07
	Travel meal at Ventra Vending in Chicago on 4/16/18	\$ 33.00
	TOTAL	\$ 82,121.98